

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the November 13, 2006 office action, the Examiner allowed claims 1-12 and rejected claims 14-20 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicants thank the Examiner for the allowed claims and amend claim 14 to overcome the 35 USC 112 rejection. Claims 1-20 are now pending of which claims 1, 7 and 14 are independent claims. Applicants respectfully request allowance of the pending claims based on the amendments and the remarks below.

Rejection Under 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 14-20

The Examiner rejected Claim 14 stating that the abbreviation "RAID" is not clearly defined. {Office Action Page 2, Para 2}

Applicants have amended Claim 14 to define RAID as "redundant array of independent disks". This definition is supported in the specification at paragraph [0004]. Therefore, Applicant respectfully request allowance of Claims 14-20.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants believe Claims 1-20 are all allowable, and a notice of allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions regarding the application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned Attorney at (949)-955-1920.

Dated: 12/27/06

Respectfully submitted,

Heidi L. Eisenhut

Heidi L. Eisenhut
Reg. No. 46,812
Attorney for Applicant
Tel.: (949) 955-1920